## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 OECD P 25573 021528Z

43

**ACTION EB-07** 

INFO OCT-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 NRC-05 NSAE-00 USIA-06

TRSE-00 EUR-12 ERDA-05 ISO-00 ACDA-07 /043 W

----- 086765

P 021510Z SEP 76

FM USMISSION OECD PARIS

TO SECSTATE WASH DC PRIORITY 3245

CONFIDENTIAL OECD PARIS 25573

**EXCON** 

E.O. 11652: XGDS1

TAGS: ESTC, COCOM, FR, UR

SUBJECT: FRENCH IRIS 80 COMPUTER FOR USSR--IL 1565

REF: (A) COCOM DOC (76) 1201, (B) STATE 214191, (C)

215401, (D) OECD PARIS 25160

- 1. FRENCH DEL PHONED SEPTEMBER 2 TO STATE THAT HIS AUTHORITIES FELT SUBMISSION OF REFDOC A ADEQUATE AND THAT HIS AUTHORITIES COULD ONLY VIEW US RESERVATION AS OBJECTION. NEVERTHELESS, HIS AUTHORITIES WERE WILLING TO PROVIDE THE SIGNED END USER STATEMENT. HE WAS STILL CHECKING TO DETERMINE IF HIS AUTHORITIES COULD OR WOULD PROVIDE ADDITIONAL END USE/END USER INFORMATION. HE RECALLED FRENCH RELUCTANCE TO ACCEPT NOTE 12 PROCEDURE AND INDICATED THAT HIS DELEGATION WOULD BRING THIS MATTER UP FOR DEBATE AT FIRST COCOM SESSION SEPTEMBER 14.
- 2. FRENCH DEL ALSO REGRETTED THAT US HAD WAITED TILL DATE LIMITE EXPIRATION DATE TO RAISE QUESTION OF SUFFICIENCY OF FRENCH SUBMISSION. IF QUESTIONS HAD BEEN POSED EARLIER, HE SAID, HIS DELEGATION COULD PROBABLY HAVE PROVIDED REQUIRED INFORMATION AND CASE WOULD NOT HAVE DEVELOPED INTO ISSUE THAT IT HAS NOW BECOME.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 OECD P 25573 021528Z

3. US DEL ALSO REGRETTED DELAY WHICH HE ATTRIBUTED, IN

PART, TO AUGUST VACATION PERIOD LAPSE. HE REITERATED POSITION THAT US UNABLE VIEW REFDOC AS PROPERLY SUBMITTED UNDER NOTE 12 AS IT LACKED PRECISION AND DOCUMENTATION REQUIRED. HE AGAIN RECALLED US STATEMENTS IN COCOM DOC DEF (76) 1565/1 AND STATED THAT US HAD NO CHOICE BUT TO VIEW CASE AS FALLING UNDER GENERAL EXCEPTION PROCEDURE, WHICH, UNLIKE NOTE 12, PROVIDES FOR RESERVES AND QUESTIONS.

4. ACTION REQUESTED: DEPARTMENT CONCURRENCE IN US DEL SUBMITTING MEMO ON REFCASE TO COCOM STATING POSITION OUTLINED IN PARA 1 REFTEL D. TURNER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: STRATEGIC TRADE CONTROLS, END USE CHECKS, EXPORT LICENSES, COMPUTERS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 02 SEP 1976 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: BoyleJA
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976OFCDP25573

Document Number: 19760ECDP25573
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a **Executive Order:** X1 Errors: N/A

Film Number: D760333-0845 From: OECD PARIS Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19760997/aaaadfkv.tel Line Count: 73 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION EB Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 2

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: 76 STATE 214191 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: BoyleJA

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 20 APR 2004

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <20 APR 2004 by CollinP0>; APPROVED <11 AUG 2004 by BoyleJA>

**Review Markings:** 

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MÁY 2006

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: FRENCH IRIS 80 COMPUTER FOR USSR--IL 1565 TAGS: ESTC, FR, UR, US, COCOM To: STATE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006